

TRANSFORMING AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT THROUGH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

LAURA-DIANA RADU

*Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași
Iași, Romania
glaura@uaic.ro*

DANIELA POPESCU

*Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași
Iași, Romania
rdaniela@uaic.ro*

Abstract

In the context of the rapid transformations of the past decade, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is significantly reshaping processes across all industries, including – perhaps most notably – software development. This paper explores the multifaceted role of AI in transforming Agile project management practices, highlighting key innovations, challenges, and benefits. Based on a review of the literature combined with exploratory case examples from current industry practices, the study identifies AI-driven technologies that enhance decision-making and forecasting capabilities. While AI offers significant advantages – such as automating routine tasks and enabling predictive insights – its integration also presents critical challenges. These include concerns related to data privacy and security, overreliance on technology, limitations in integration, and the pressing need for project managers to develop new competencies in a rapidly evolving technological landscape. The paper aims to provide a structured perspective on how AI can be strategically embedded into Agile processes to enhance project outcomes. The findings suggest that AI not only boosts productivity but also redefines team dynamics and strategic decision-making, contributing to a deeper understanding of how AI can sustainably support modern project management.

Keywords: agile project management; artificial intelligence; agile framework; machine learning; natural language processing.

JEL Classification: O22; O33; L86; M15.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Agile methodology has gained significant popularity, particularly within companies engaged in software development. Its widespread adoption can be attributed primarily to its natural embrace of change and its emphasis on technical excellence (Shankarmani *et al.*, 2010). Grounded in four core values and twelve

principles, Agile software development has been adopted by numerous teams and organizations as an adaptive response to the dynamic evolution of technology.

Agile teams are typically small, with three to ten members, who generally develop products through short, fixed-length iterations lasting between one and four weeks. In some Agile frameworks, such as Kanban, delivery occurs on a continuous basis. Regardless of the specific framework adopted by the team, the overarching objective remains consistent: delivering high-quality functionality on time to meet customer expectations. While Agile practices are characterized by flexibility and the frameworks are fundamentally empirical, their high level of adaptability often presents challenges. Project managers must identify and select those practices that most effectively facilitate both team and project evolution over the long term. Consequently, they are required to demonstrate strong analytical skills and critical thinking abilities to identify and address obstacles that impede team performance- obstacles that are often subtle and difficult to detect.

The recent evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) offers significant opportunities to enhance project outcomes by automating various tasks, whether related directly to project implementation (e.g., code generation, automated testing, bug fixing, training of the team members) or to activities that support development (e.g., planning, estimation, team member selection). The integration of AI technologies can substantially reduce the time allocated to non-value-adding activities, streamline communication, facilitate decision-making, and improve responsiveness to the uncertainties inherent in Agile software development.

This paper examines the ways in which AI-driven transformations enhance the execution of projects implemented through Agile methodologies and improve team performance. The paper is structured as follows: Section 1 introduces the topic; Section 2 reviews the relevant literature on Agile project management and AI applications in project management; Section 3 presents examples of specific activities where AI supports transformation within Agile teams; and Section 4 offers concluding remarks based on the analysis conducted.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Background of agile project management

A substantial body of scholarly research has explored the challenges and advantages associated with software development using Agile methodologies. Formalized in 2001 through the Agile Manifesto, this approach sought to address the shortcomings inherent in traditional project management models – classified by the Project Management Institute as "predictive project management" – which required the development of highly detailed, upfront plans encompassing all intended functionalities of the final product.

Initially, Agile frameworks such as Scrum, Kanban, Lean Software Development, and Extreme Programming (XP) were designed to support small-scale projects. Over time, these frameworks have been expanded and adapted,

forming the foundation for large-scale Agile methodologies, including the Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe), Large-Scale Scrum (LeSS), and Nexus, to accommodate the needs of complex, enterprise-level initiatives) (Reddaiah *et al.*, 2016); Pieroni *et al.*, 2018; Neve and Agarwal, 2024).

Each framework is accompanied by a defined set of practices, roles, and events that the team adheres to in order to work in an Agile manner and deliver incremental value to customers (Bahi *et al.*, 2024). Among these, Scrum is the most widely adopted Agile framework. Teams applying Scrum develop products incrementally and iteratively by dividing the work into sprints, typically lasting between two and four weeks, with a two-week duration being the most common. Customer requirements are formulated as user stories, which are sufficiently small to be implemented within a single sprint or iteration (Alsaadi and Saeedi, 2022). At the end of each sprint, the team is expected to deliver only fully completed functionalities – designed, implemented, and tested – to the customer.

Formulating requirements whose scope exceeds the timeframe of a sprint would be against Agile principles and practices. Such requirements do exist, referred to as epics, but they must be decomposed into smaller, manageable user stories before being incorporated into a sprint. Estimation of user stories is most commonly performed using story points, which reflect the total effort required to complete a backlog item or another unit of work (Goswami *et al.*, 2016). The number of story points, often determined using the Fibonacci sequence, should simultaneously account for the complexity of the requirement, the volume of work necessary for its completion, and the associated risk or uncertainty.

Collaboration is a fundamental characteristic of Agile methodologies. In Scrum, communication is facilitated through four key events: (1) *Sprint Planning*, conducted before the start of each sprint to plan the work to be completed; (2) *Daily Standup (Daily Scrum)*, held daily to communicate progress and ensure team synchronization; (3) *Sprint Review*, at the end of the sprint, for presenting the deliverables and gathering stakeholder feedback; and (4) *Sprint Retrospective*, aimed at reviewing processes and identifying opportunities for improvement (Bahi *et al.*, 2024). The Agile approach promotes the formation of cross-functional, self-organizing teams, capable of delivering a potentially shippable product at the end of each iteration.

2.2. Background of artificial intelligence in project management

The evolution of AI in recent years has been remarkable, driven by significant advancements in the infrastructure underpinning information and communication technologies. Within project management, AI offers diverse opportunities for process optimization and overall performance enhancement at the project level (Cristaldo *et al.*, 2021). It is currently leveraged for a wide range of activities, including requirements formulation, predictive analysis, optimization of planning based on historical data, automation of repetitive tasks,

dynamic updating of project schedules in response to changes, cost estimation, risk prediction, detection of team overload and bottlenecks, monitoring team communication, and assessing team members' morale, among others (Chou *et al.*, 2013; Costantino *et al.*, 2015; Xu and Lin, 2015; Wauters and Vanhoucke, 2016; Hsu *et al.*, 2020; De Oliveira *et al.*, 2023; Taboada *et al.*, 2023). By relieving team members and project managers from routine tasks, AI enables them to allocate more time to strategic and creative activities. Moreover, it significantly reduces the likelihood of human errors.

In recent years, the advent of Generative AI (GenAI) has received widespread attention, particularly due to the availability of accessible tools such as ChatGPT, DeepSeek, and Gemini. GenAI can be employed across a broad spectrum of project management activities, from planning to monitoring and control, delivering remarkable results. Various tools are used to generate reports, create or refine requirements, perform real-time translations to eliminate communication barriers, draft or interpret messages, and more.

According to Felicetti *et al.* (2024), GenAI acts as both an enabler of efficiency and a driver of growth, reshaping competitive dynamics. Its adoption facilitates the identification of problems, opportunities, and threats at a level that surpasses conventional knowledge domains and traditional search routines. Despite the growing interest, the literature focusing on AI applications in project management remains relatively limited. Bahi *et al.* (2024) discuss how generative AI enhances workflow efficiency and mitigates challenges in software projects through activities such as code generation and predictive analytics. Similarly, they demonstrate how AI enables proactive risk anticipation and real-time collaboration through its predictive capabilities.

Other scholars have compared the expertise of human project managers with AI-driven systems, highlighting that AI, when effectively integrated, can significantly enhance decision-making processes during the project planning phase (Barcaui and Monat, 2023). Furthermore, Felicetti *et al.* (2024) analysed the factors influencing project managers' use of generative AI. Their findings reveal that an innovative attitude, peer influence, and good task–technology fit substantially affect the ways in which AI is employed – whether creatively or in unexpected manners.

3. APPLICATIONS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Both formally and informally, numerous Agile teams increasingly integrate AI into project-related activities. Within projects developed using Agile methodologies, AI can be employed to automate estimations and streamline sprint planning. Machine learning (ML) algorithms are leveraged to analyse historical project data and generate more accurate predictions regarding the time, costs, and resources required.

Estimation accuracy plays an important role in ensuring proper project planning. Estimation errors may lead to delayed product deliveries, suboptimal resource allocation, or budget overruns (Younas *et al.*, 2025). Over time, organizations accumulate substantial volumes of data related to estimated versus actual task durations, team members' expertise, the frequency of requirement changes, activity complexity and type, encountered bottlenecks, and more. By leveraging these datasets, ML models can be trained to learn the relationships between task characteristics and their actual completion times. Consequently, each newly added task can be automatically estimated based on learned patterns. Such capabilities enable more accurate scheduling, optimize resource allocation, reduce project risks, and enhance delivery predictability. To improve estimation accuracy and enable comparative analysis of outcomes, several applications have been developed that integrate ML techniques (Prasada Rao *et al.*, 2018; Raza and Espinosa-Leal, 2024) or Deep Learning approaches (Arachchi and Amalraj, 2023). Organizations may either adopt existing solutions, such as Forecast.ai – which can be integrated with Jira for advanced prediction capabilities – or develop customized solutions using platforms such as Azure Machine Learning, integrated with Azure DevOps.

Prioritizing the backlog constitutes another critical activity within projects developed under Agile methodologies. Business value is the principal criterion guiding the ordering of user stories; however, other factors also influence prioritization, such as dependencies among user stories, the impact on product quality, user experience, business objectives, and resource availability. AI-based systems can leverage historical project data to assign scores to each task, thereby suggesting an optimal implementation order. Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques can be employed to extract keywords from user stories which, when correlated with project objectives, generate relevant insights for backlog prioritization.

Utilizing NLP and machine learning, Izhar *et al.* (2024) developed a model that systematically analyses and prioritizes user requirements based on their semantic significance, employing advanced text pre-processing, cosine similarity, Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF), tokenization, log-likelihood calculations, and K-Means clustering. Automating the prioritization process enhances the Product Owner's ability to align development with stakeholder expectations and enables more efficient resource allocation.

The regular organization of meetings is a well-established practice within Agile methodologies. The use of virtual assistants and chatbots to facilitate daily stand-up meetings (for example, Standuply or Slack's Geekbot), to send reminders, centralize impediments, and provide answers to frequently asked questions (such as Workbot or Power Virtual Agents integrated with Microsoft Teams) represents another valuable application of AI. Such tools can significantly enhance team efficiency by delivering rapid responses and offering contextually

relevant suggestions to emerging issues. Moreover, they serve as useful instruments for familiarizing team members with Agile practices and for promoting the application of Agile values and principles across various domains (Mekic *et al.*, 2024).

AI-driven applications can also be employed to monitor team dynamics and sentiment, aiming to track the emotional well-being of team members, identify emotional bottlenecks, and prevent burnout or conflicts (Madampe *et al.*, 2020; Araque *et al.*, 2022). By analysing conversations among team members, these systems can send early warnings to Scrum Masters, enabling proactive interventions before disruptive events occur that could slow or derail project progress.

Furthermore, predictive models can be utilized to identify potential risks and bottlenecks, signalling likely delays, errors, or defects and offering preventive suggestions (Dam *et al.*, 2019; Orantes-Jiménez *et al.*, 2021). Agile project management applications may also be used to detect stagnating tasks, monitor key performance indicators, and apply predictive models to risk assessment.

In recent years, the adoption of GenAI has become increasingly widespread. The potential and effective use of GenAI across different phases of Agile project implementation has been explored by scholars such as Dhruva *et al.* (2024), Haidabrus (2024) and Cinkusz *et al.* (2025). Their findings reveal that GenAI can play a significant role in defining project scope, generating user stories and decomposing them into tasks, describing the roles and required competencies for task execution (knowledge, skills, experience), facilitating estimation, providing technical consultancy to avoid development blockages, assisting team members in familiarizing themselves with Agile processes, and tracking project progress.

As GenAI continues to become increasingly integrated into daily workflows, the accuracy of the information it produces is expected to improve. Nevertheless, ethical concerns persist, including the necessity of validating the information provided based on context, and the imperative to ensure data security. For example, sensitive information should not be shared with GenAI tools (Bahi *et al.*, 2024).

4. CONCLUSIONS

Many companies, particularly those operating in the software development sector, manage projects by applying Agile methodologies. Although Agile has evolved over time, the values and principles articulated in 2001 remain the foundation for Agile teams. AI has increasingly contributed to this evolution, supporting teams throughout all stages of project development – from the initial idea to launch, and subsequently during product updates and eventual decommissioning.

Despite significant progress, the maturity level of AI remains limited. Its potential is immense, and the extent of the transformations it may drive is difficult to fully anticipate. In the field of Agile project management, AI is currently

employed to support a wide range of activities, including the formulation of project goals, definition and testing of requirements, effort estimation, facilitation of team communication, and forecasting.

This paper provides a synthesis of the key activities and practices influenced by the evolution of AI, highlighting the transformations it is bringing to Agile project management.

References

- 1) Alsaadi, B. and Saeedi, K. (2022). Data-driven effort estimation techniques of agile user stories: A systematic literature review. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 55(7), pp. 5485–5516. Springer Nature.
- 2) Arachchi, K.J.W. and Amalraj, C.R.J. (2023). An Agile Project Management Supporting Approach for Estimating Story Points in User Stories. In: *Proceedings of ICITR 2023—8th International Conference on Information Technology Research: The Next Evolution in Digital Transformation*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.
- 3) Araque, O., Sánchez-Rada, J.F. and Iglesias, C.A. (2022). GSITK: A sentiment analysis framework for agile replication and development. *SoftwareX*, 17, 100921.
- 4) Bahi, A., Gharib, J. and Gahi, Y. (2024). Integrating Generative AI for Advancing Agile Software Development and Mitigating Project Management Challenges. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 15(3), pp. 54–61. Science and Information SAI Organization Ltd.
- 5) Barcaui, A. and Monat, A. (2023). Who is better in project planning? Generative artificial intelligence or project managers? *Project Leadership and Society*, 4, 100101.
- 6) Chou, J.-S., Cheng, M.-Y. and Wu, Y.-W. (2013). Improving classification accuracy of project dispute resolution using hybrid artificial intelligence and support vector machine models. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(6), pp. 2263–2274.
- 7) Cinkusz, K., Chudziak, J.A. and Niewiadomska-Szynkiewicz, E. (2025). Cognitive Agents Powered by Large Language Models for Agile Software Project Management. *Electronics (Switzerland)*, 14(1). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI).
- 8) Costantino, F., Di Gravio, G. and Nonino, F. (2015). Project selection in project portfolio management: An artificial neural network model based on critical success factors. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(8), pp. 1744–1754.
- 9) Cristaldo, P.R., De Luise, D.L., La Pietra, L.E. and De Battista, A.C. (2021). Adjustment Level Metrics for Requirements in Project Management. In: *2021 8th International Conference on Soft Computing and Machine Intelligence (ISCMI)*, pp. 145–154.
- 10) Dam, H.K., Tran, T., Grundy, J., Ghose, A. and Kamei, Y. (2019). Towards Effective AI-powered Agile Project Management. In: *2019 IEEE/ACM 41st International Conference on Software Engineering: New Ideas and Emerging Results (ICSE-NIER 2019)*, pp. 41–44. IEEE Computer Soc.
- 11) De Oliveira, M.A., Pacheco, A.S., Futami, A.H., Valentina, L.V.O.D. and Flesch, C.A. (2023). Self-organizing maps and Bayesian networks in organizational

- modelling: A case study in innovation projects management. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 40(1), pp. 61–87.
- 12) Dhruva, G., Shettigar, I., Parthasarthy, S. and Sapna, V.M. (2024). Agile Project Management Using Large Language Models. In: *2024 5th International Conference on Innovative Trends in Information Technology, ICITIIT 2024*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.
 - 13) Felicetti, A. M., Cimino, A., Mazzoleni, A., and Ammirato, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence and project management: An empirical investigation on the appropriation of generative Chatbots by project managers. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, 9(3), 100545.
 - 14) Goswami, R., Jasuja, M. and Dhir, S. (2016). Impact of different estimation approaches in traditional and agile development. In: *Proceedings—2016 2nd International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Technology, CICT 2016*, pp. 679–682. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.
 - 15) Haidabrus, B. (2024). Generative AI in Agile, Project, and Delivery Management. In: I. V, T. J, P. I, R. E, and P. J (Eds.), *Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering*, pp. 100–110. Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH.
 - 16) Hsu, H.-C., Chang, S., Chen, C.-C. and Wu, I.-C. (2020). Knowledge-based system for resolving design clashes in building information models. *Automation in Construction*, 110, 103001.
 - 17) Izhar, R., Cosh, K. and Bhatti, S.N. (2024). Enhancing Agile Software Development: A Novel Approach to Automated Requirements Prioritization. In: *2024 21st International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering, JCSSE 2024*, pp. 286–293. IEEE.
 - 18) Madampe, K., Hoda, R. and Singh, P. (2020). Towards understanding emotional response to requirements changes in agile teams. In: *Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE 42nd International Conference on Software Engineering: New Ideas and Emerging Results*, pp. 37–40.
 - 19) Mekic, E.S., Jovanovic, M.N., Kuk, K.V., Prlincevic, B.P. and Savic, A.M. (2024). Enhancing educational efficiency: Generative AI chatbots and DevOps in Education 4.0. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 32(6). Wiley.
 - 20) Neve, J.R. and Agarwal, S. (2024). An Interdisciplinary Study of Fuzzy AHP Model for Prioritizing Agile Cost Overhead and Infusion of Machine Learning. In: *2024 Asia Pacific Conference on Innovation in Technology, APCIT 2024*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.
 - 21) Orantes-Jiménez, S.-D., Pérez-Castillo, Y.-J., Cruz-Cortés, N. and Aguilar-Jaúregui, M.-E. (2021). Process proposal and acceptance survey by work teams for the adoption of the agile approach using machine learning. In: C. N.C, H. J, S. B, and S. M (Eds.), *15th International Multi-Conference on Society, Cybernetics and Informatics, IMSCI 2021*, pp. 50–55. International Institute of Informatics and Systemics, IIS.
 - 22) Pieroni, A., Scarpato, N. and Scorza, M. (2018). Affective agile design a proposal for a new software development model. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 96(1), pp. 68–79. Asian Research Publishing Network.

- 23) Prasada Rao, Ch., Siva Kumar, P., Rama Sree, S. and Devi, J. (2018). An agile effort estimation based on story points using machine learning techniques. In: R. B.P, P. V.K, T. J.M, B. V, and R. K.S (Eds.), *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 712, pp. 209–219). Springer Verlag.
- 24) Raza, A. and Espinosa-Leal, L. (2024). Predicting the Duration of User Stories in Agile Project Management. In: M. Auer, R. Langmann, D. May, and K. Roos (Eds.), *Smart Technologies for a Sustainable Future*, 2. Springer International Publishing Ag.
- 25) Reddaiah, B., Pradeep Kumar Reddy, R., Nagaraju, C. and Harsha Sree, V. (2016). A novel approach to adopt scrum by an enterprise. In: S. Dash, M. Bhaskar, B. Panigrahi and S. Das, (eds). *Artificial Intelligence and Evolutionary Computations in Engineering Systems. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 394. Springer, New Delhi.
- 26) Shankarmani, R., Mantha, S.S., Babu, V., Mehta, D., Khatri, K. and Kaushil, P. (2010). A decision support system utilizing a semantic agent. In: *Proceedings 2010 IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering and Service Sciences, ICSESS 2010*, pp. 442–447.
- 27) Taboada, I., Daneshpajouh, A., Toledo, N. and De Vass, T. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Enabled Project Management: A Systematic Literature Review. *Applied Sciences*, 13(8), 5014.
- 28) Wauters, M. and Vanhoucke, M. (2016). A comparative study of Artificial Intelligence methods for project duration forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 46, pp. 249–261.
- 29) Xu, F. and Lin, S.-P. (2015). Theoretical framework of Fuzzy-AI model in quantitative project management. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 30(1), pp. 509–521.
- 30) Younas, W., Chen, R., Zhao, J., Iqbal, T., Sharaf, M. and Imran, A. (2025). SPERT: Reinforcement Learning-Enhanced Transformer Model for Agile Story Point Estimation. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, 35(03), pp. 293–325. World Scientific Publ. Co. Pte. Ltd.